



18  
Ltr.  
Cofer  
8-26-03

Paul Kaskiewicz  
P O Box 822  
Princeton Jct. NJ 08550  
7 August 2003

To the attention of:

Carolyn Cofer  
Legal Estimate Examiner  
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Washington DC 20231

Cc  
Deputy Commissioner of Patent Examination Policy  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria  
VA 22313-1450

Reference: Application 09673559

Subject: Hard copy of fax sent to Ms. Carolyn Cofer at 703-305-7658 on 4 August 2003

Dear Ms Cofer,

On 4 August 2003, I faxed to you, at 703 305 7658, the enclosed note (dated 4 August 2003) plus the enclosed photocopies of my cleared check number 907097.

Later on 4 August 2003, and on each of the next two days I left you voice messages at 703-305-0246, requesting confirmation by phone (at 818-393-7179) that you had received the fax.

As I have not received a response, I am enclosing hard copies of the faxed note and photocopies of the check.

Sincerely yours,

*Paul Kaskiewicz*

Paul Kaskiewicz  
For the Applicants

Enclosures:

- 1) Note to Carolyn Cofer, dated 4 August 2003 (1 page)
- 2) Photocopies of my check number 907097



Faxed to 1-703-305-7658 on 4 August 2003

Paul Kaskiewicz  
Turbosat  
P.O. Box 822  
Princeton Junction  
NJ 08550

4 August 2003

Re: Re Appln No. 09/673559 - \$255 check for extension of time to respond to Examiner

Dear Ms Cofer

You stated by phone last week that the file for the subject application contains no record of the USPTO having deposited our check for \$255, which we sent to the USPTO by USPS certified mail on 15 June 2002.

Therefore, as discussed with you last week, I am sending this fax to provide the USPTO with copies of both sides of the check - showing that the USPTO did deposit the check, which cleared on 5 July 2002.

Additionally, we have the "Domestic Return Receipt" from the certified mailing, bearing the stamp of the USPTO for 24 June 2002. (Please note that we have previously provided a copy of the domestic return receipt to the Petitions Department of the USPTO - as "Enclosure/Attachment 3 (i) of our letter to the Petitions Department dated 24 October 2002.; and therefore I am not including a further copy in the current transmission.

On 1 July 2002 you left me a voice message concerning the \$255 check. On 2 July 2002, I returned your call, and you then:

- 1) informed me that you had in your hands the \$255 check together with our response to the Examiner dated 12 June 2002.
- 2) positively identified for me the response to the Examiner by means of its date of 12 June 2002, and by its multiple tab dividers, and by its approximate thickness of 1/3 inch.
- 3) stated that because we had responded to the Examiner within 2 months of the due date, the late fee would be only \$200, and that accordingly you would be refunding \$55 to us.
- 4) that you would next forward the "response to the Examiner" (dated 12 June 2002) to the Examiner.

Would you, therefore, please respond providing USPTO records concerning the foregoing and what happened next to

- (i) the \$255 check,
  - (ii) the refund for our having responded within 2 months of the due date, and
  - (iii) "our response to the Examiner"
- a.s.a.p., please?

Our mailing address is:

Turbosat  
P.O. Box 822  
Princeton Junction  
NJ 08550

Also, please call:  
Tel: 818 393 7179;  
Fax: 775 254 5159

Yours sincerely  
Paul Kaskiewicz  
for the Applicants

*Paul Kaskiewicz 7 August 2003 (on hard copy)*

